Sunnyslope County Water District Budget FY 2021-22

	Jul	l '20 - Jun 21	Jul	l '21 - Jun 22	Budget Impact - Favorable / (Unfavorable)		
Revenue							
Water Sales	\$	6,600,000	\$	6,700,000	\$	100,000	
Sewer Sales		1,900,000		2,016,000		116,000	
Installation & Inspection Fees		80,050		-		(80,050)	
Contracted Service Agreements:							
Lessalt		1,077,730		1,068,840		(8,890)	
West Hills		1,573,700		1,723,896		150,196	
San Benito Foods		-		393,852		393,852	
COH Billing		147,000		156,000		9,000	
Other Fees		146,100		6,000		(140,100)	
Total Revenue	\$	11,524,580	\$	12,064,588	\$	540,008	

Sunnyslope County Water District Budget FY 2021-22

	Ju	1 '20 - Jun 21	Jı	ul '21 - Jun 22]	dget Impact - Favorable / Infavorable)
Expenses						
Salaries & Benefits		3,328,929		3,759,979		(431,050)
Contracted Service Agreements:						
Chemicals		780,000		830,000		(50,000)
Other Costs for O&M Agreements		1,476,200		1,605,931		(129,731)
Water Supply		4,310,949		4,519,223		(208,274)
Water Conservation		152,000		118,550		33,450
Other Operating Expenses:						
Electrical		222,100		330,000		(107,900)
Facility Repairs, Vehicle Maintenance & Supplies		232,700		279,770		(47,070)
Other Admistrative Expenses		165,318		76,448		88,870
Interest		307,800		271,826		35,974
Depreciation		1,391,600		1,516,866		(125,266)
Total Expenses		12,367,596		13,308,593		(940,997)
Net Income	\$	(843,016)	\$	(1,244,005)	\$	(400,989)
Adjustment for Depreciation (Cash Basis)		1,391,600.00		1,516,866.00		125,266.00
Increase in Cash Position	\$	548,584	\$	272,861	\$	(275,723)



FY 2021-22 Projected Reserves and Restricted Cash:

RATE PAYERS	RA	AT	ΕP	AY	ER	S:
-------------	----	----	----	----	----	----

EARTHQUAKE & EMERGENCY RESERVE RATE STABILIZATION RESERVE DROUGHT CONTINGENCY RESEVE	1,000,000 750,000 400,000
CEPPT TRUST - RESTRICTED CASH	\$ 1,000,000

CAPITAL:

EMPLOYEES:

Cash Restricted to Capital @ July 1, 2021:

ush Restricted to Cupital & July 1, 2021.	
Water Capacity Fee Balance \$	5,321,706
Sewer Capacity Fee Balance	21,125
Capital Improvement Reserve Fund	1,709,419
Vehicle Replacement Fund	193,177
Emergency Equipment Replacement Fund	100,000
Office and Misc. Equipment Replacement Fund	269,414
Total Projected Cash Restricted to Capital \$	7,614,841
Total Five Year Capital Plan \$	6,461,000
Projected Balance available after 5 years \$	1,153,841



FY 2021-22 Projected Reserves and Restricted Cash:

DEBT:

RESTRICTED BY DEBT COVENANT DEBT SERVICE RESERVE

760,000

4,482,524

(Loan Payoff+ & 1 Year of Principle and Interest) {a}

{a} Long Term Debt Burden:

			Year
Debt Obligation (Without City National Loan)	Bala	nce at 7/1/2021	Paid Off
SBCWD TRANCHE 1	\$	5,537,491	2043
SBCWD TRANCHE 2		6,558,670	2035
SBCWD TRANCHE 3		1,374,858	2031
SRF Loan		7,748,648	2033
Total Long Term Debt Burden	\$	21,219,667	
July 1, 2021 Cash Summary			
Restricted Cash		16,007,365	
Unrestricted		674,471	
Total Projected Cash July 1, 2021		16,681,836	

⁺ Paying off the City National Loan saves the District \$353,637 in Interest over the next 8 years

Lessalt Water Treatment Plant

Operations and Maintenance Budget for July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022 (FY 2021-22)

[2020 / 21	2020 / 21	2020 / 21	2021 / 22
ACCT.#	DESCRIPTION	Approved	Year-to-Date	PROJECTED	Proposed
		BUDGET	Mar. 2021 (9-mos.)	(12-mos.)	BUDGET
	* OPERATING EXPENSES *				
661.01	Telephone	\$ 2,800	\$ 2,293	\$ 2,800	\$ 2,800
661.02	Contract/Professional Services (Calcon, Razzolink, BKP) (2)	26,000	1,184	11,184	25,000
661.03	Office Supplies/Shop Supplies	700	1,147	1,529	700
661.04	Repairs & Maintenance - Operations (3)	50,000	56,217	60,000	50,000
661.05	Professional Development (4)	500	1,107	1,476	2,000
661.06	Chemicals, Lab Supplies	8,000	4,512	6,016	8,000
661.07	GAC Filter Media Replacement (5)	490,000	290,412	390,412	420,000
661.08	Environmental (Quality) Monitoring - Operations	30,000	16,141	21,521	22,000
661.09	Dues, Fees, Permits	-	807	1,076	1,500
661.10	Chlorine & Other Treatment Chemicals	80,000	52,771	70,361	80,000
661.12	Chemical Removal Costs	-	-	-	-
661.90	Capital Assets - Equipment Purchased (6)	-	-	-	18,000
	SUBTOTAL	688,000	426,591	566,376	630,000
	* LABOR AND OVERHEAD EXPENSES *		Feb. 2021 (8-mos.)		
Ì	OPERATOR LABOR & BENEFITS (342 hrs/mo. or 4,108 hrs/year) (1)	272,000	255,384	383,076	429,771
	MANAGEMENT OVERHEAD PASS THRU (5 hrs/mo or 60 hrs/year)	3,700	2,492	3,738	4,074
	VEHICLE OPERATION PASS THRU (7)	12,000	-	12,000	5,000
	* TOTAL OPERATING, LABOR, AND OVERHEAD EXPENSES *	\$ 975,700	684,467	965,190	\$ 1,068,845
ļ		/ 12			/ 12
	Bill Monthly:	\$ 81,308			\$ 89,070
	To Be Paid from Reserves:				
661.90	Capital Assets - Equipment Purchased (4)	-	-	-	-

NOTES:

- (1) Based on Operator hours required in 20/21. Hours required at Lessalt plant decreased for time plant will be offline to maximize operations at Westhills.
- (2) Hach Meter Calibration Materials, \$12,000 Suez Factory Calibration of TLC Analyzers, First Trust Alarm, \$10,000 Push Forward ArchFlash Study of MCCs.
- (3) Expenditures this year included the unexpected broken Ferric line. Next year is anticipated Adjustment of Switchgear and equipment painting.
- (4) Electrical/ArcFlash Training
- (5) GAC costs assume regenerated material at \$50,000 per filter (8 anticipated exchanges).
- (6) Purchase of Replacement Turbidity Analyzers and s200 Controllers
- (7) Vehicle budgeting is reduced based on anticipated reduction in truck use.

9%

West Hills Water Treatment Plant

Operations and Maintenance Budget for July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022 (FY 2021-22)

		2020 / 21	2020 / 21	2020 / 21	2021 / 22
ACCT.#	DESCRIPTION	Approved	Year-to-Date	PROJECTED	Proposed
		BUDGET	Mar. 2021 (9-mos.)	(12-mos.)	BUDGET
	* OPERATING EXPENSES *				
662.01	Telephone	\$ 750	\$ 371	\$ 495	\$ 750
662.02	Contract/Professional Services (Hach, Suez, Beecher, First Trust Alarm, BKP) (2)	45,000	4,869	21,492	45,000
662.03	Office Supplies / Shop Supplies	1,200	1,120	1,493	1,200
662.04	Repairs & Maintenance - Operations (3)	75,000	38,349	61,132	55,000
662.05	Professional Development	5,500	-	· -	5,500
662.06	Chemicals, Lab Supplies	15,000	11,157	14,876	15,000
662.07	Powdered Activated Carbon (4)	250,000	113,279	233,279	200,000
662.08	Environmental (Quality) Monitoring - Operations (5)	30,000	21,653	28,871	30,000
662.09	Dues, Fees, Permits	-	-	-	-
662.10	Chlorine & Other Treatment Chemicals (6)	700,000	567,044	756,059	750,000
662.11	Sludge Removal Costs	10,000	18,692	24,923	25,000
662.12	Chemical Removal Costs	-	-	-	-
662.90	Capital Assets - Equipment Purchased (7)	5,000	-	-	35,000
	SUBTOTAL	1,137,450	776,534	1,142,619	1,162,450
	302.01/12	1,101,100	110,001	.,2,0.10	1,102,100
•	* LABOR AND OVERHEAD EXPENSES *				
-			Feb. 2021 (8-mos.)		
	OPERATOR LABOR & BENEFITS (542 hrs/mo. or 6,504 hrs/year) (1)	413,250	294,636	441,954	550,811
	MANAGEMENT OVERHEAD PASS THRU (6 hrs/mo or 72 hrs/year)	5,000	3,472	5,208	5,635
	VEHICLE OPERATION PASS THRU (8)	18,000	-	18,000	5,000
	* TOTAL OPERATING, LABOR, AND OVERHEAD EXPENSES *	\$ 1,573,700	\$ 1,074,642	\$ 1,607,781	\$ 1,723,896
•		<u>/ 12</u>			<u>/ 12</u>
	Bill Monthly:	<u>\$ 131,142</u>			\$ 143,658

NOTES:

(1) Operator and Maintenance hours based prior year values.

- (2) Hach Meter Calibration Materials, \$18,000 Suez Factory Calibration of TLC Analizyers, First Trust Alarm, \$20,000 Push Forward ArchFlash Study of MCCs.
- (3) Cleaning to be completed in June 2021, adjustment of MCC per Arch Flash Study
- (4) Expected one final excange prior to end of year, if it occures in 2022 then \$60,000 will push to following fiscal year.
- (5) Outside Laboratory Testing and Monitoring.
- (6) Chemicals expenditure based on operational experience. Water Production anticipated to Increase at West Hills and Decrease at Lessalt Causing Budgect Shift to WH.
- (7) \$10,0000 Replacment of Sodium Hydroxide Tank with Larger Tank, \$25,000 Upgrade of Turbidity Analyzers.
- (8) Vehicle budgeting is reduced based on anticipated reduciton in truck use.

8.71%

SUNNYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MAINTENANCE PROJECTS 5 YEAR OUTLAY

Project #	PROJECT	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Department WW/WT/WD
1	GAC Exchange	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	WT
2	PAC Exchange	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	WT
3	Inspection Dive Ridgemark Tanks & Fairview Tanks	\$8,000				\$8,000	WD
	Inspection Dive Lessalt Treated Water Tank	\$2,000				\$2,000	WT
	Inspection Dive West Hills Treated Water Tank	\$2,000				\$2,000	WT
4	Wastewater Facilities ArchFlash Study	\$15,000					WW
5	Lessalt ArchFlash Study	\$15,000					WT
6	Wells ArchFlash Study	\$40,000					WD
7	Lessalt Membrane Replacement	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	WT
8	Clean HVAC Ducts at District Office - unsure of cost	\$3,000				\$3,000	WD
9	Weed abatement	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	WD
10	Hazardous Material disposal	\$25,000		\$25,000			WT
11	Suez Instrumentation TOC Annual Maintenance	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$16,000	WT
12	W#t#310035 Modules sleeves	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$10,000	\$15,000	WT
13	Rosemount 8712E Magnetic Flow meter Transmitter	\$10,000		\$10,000		\$10,000	WT
14	Rosemount 8705 Flanged Magnetic Flow Meter	\$8,000		\$8,000			WT
15	Digital ph Sensor S# 2102446358 (4 probes)	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	WT
16	Analyzer calibration	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	WT
17	Repair Outlet leak on 1.0 MG Ridgemark Tank	\$30,000					WD
18							
19							
20							
	ANNUAL TOTALS	\$899,500	\$736,500	\$784,500	\$736,500	\$766,500	
	SEWER TREATMENT & COLLECTIONS	\$15,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	WATER TREATMENT	\$801,000	\$734,000	\$782,000	\$734,000	\$753,000	
	WELLS & DISTRIBUTION	\$83,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$13,500	
	SSCWD COST	\$499,000	\$369,500	\$393,500	\$369,500	\$390,000	

SUNNYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 2021/22 thru 2025/26 5 YEAR OUTLAY

CIP#	Project	Department	21/22	22/23		23/24	24/25	2	25/26	U	nsched
CIP 1	North County Groundwater Bank Feasiblity Study	WT	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$	30,000	\$ 30,000				
CIP 2	North County Groundwater Bank Phase 1	WT		\$ 2,500,000	\$	2,500,000					
CIP 3	Union Road Pressure Reducing Station SCADA	WD	\$ 30,000								
CIP 4	New Enterprise Booster Station	WD		\$ 1,000,000							
CIP 5	Upgrade Airline Highway Booster Station SCADA	WD			\$	50,000					
CIP 6	Well 5 Irrigation System Supply	WD	\$ 500,000								
CIP 7	Irrigation System Main in Fairview	WD		\$ 180,000							
CIP 8	Rehabilitate 2.0 MG Fairview Tank	WD					\$ 1,000,000				
CIP 9	SCADA at Quail Hollow PRVs	WD		\$ 150,000							
CIP 10	Well 8 Irrigation System Supply	WD						\$	500,000		
CIP 11	Hollister Urban Area Master Plan Update	WT	\$ 75,000								
CIP 12	Replace 12" Pipe from Ridgemark Tanks to Georges	WD			\$	150,000					
CIP 13	Upsize water main from Well 2 to Airline Hwy	WD						\$	166,000		
CIP 14	New Enterprise Lift Station (replace Oak Canyon)	WW								\$	100,000
CIP 15	New Airline/Ridgemark Dr. Lift Station (replace Paullus)	WW					\$ 150,000				
CIP 16	Upsize Marks Sewer with Promontory Dev	WW								\$	200,000
CIP 17	New Gravity Sewer from Main Lift to Vista del Calabria	WW					\$ 625,000				
CIP 18	New Gravity Sewer Everest toward Main Lift	WW					\$ 80,000				
CIP 19	Connection to City Sewer via Lico North	WW					\$ 420,000				
CIP 20	Ridgemark Golf Course Sewer Upsizing	WW						\$	50,000		
CIP 21	Upsizing City Sewer Mains Union/Southside to City WWTP	WW					\$ 1,000,000				
CIP 22	Manhole and Sewer Main Repair/Replace from CCTV Inspection	WW	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$	50,000					
CIP 23	Water Meter AMI Radio Network and Upgrades	WD	\$ 320,000	\$ 250,000							
CIP 24	Pond 6 Lift Station Wet Well, Pump, Electrical	WW	\$ 50,000								
CIP 25	Well 2 VFD Electrical Rewiring	WD	\$ 25,000								
CIP 26	Conduit to DO Sensors at SBR	WW	\$ 25,000								
CIP 27	Update Water System Model for Fire Flows	WD			\$	10,000					
CIP 28	Water Main Upgrades for Fire Flows	WD					\$ 50,000	\$	50,000		
CIP 29	Convert Water Distribution SCADA from Wonderware to Ignition	WD			\$	37,500	\$ 37,500				
CIP 30	Convert Lessalt SCADA from Wonderware to Ignition	WT			\$	37,500	\$ 37,500				
CIP 31	Convert West Hills SCADA from Wonderware to Ignition	WT			\$	37,500	\$ 37,500				
CIP 32	Convert Wastewater SCADA from Wonderware to Ignition	WW			\$	37,500	\$ 37,500				
CIP 33	Replace Cathodic Protection Annodes in Water Tanks	WD						\$	30,000		
CIP 34	Lessalt Upgrade Turbidity Analyzers	WT	\$ 30,600								
CIP 35	Connect Fairview Tank Solar to Grid through Meter	WD	\$ 15,000								
CIP 36	6,500 gallon Sodium Hydroxide tank	WT	\$ 25,000								
CIP 37	sc200 Universal Controller (4)	WD	\$ 9,088								
CIP 38	Westhills Upgrade Turbidity Analyzers	WT	\$ 17,500								
CIP 39											
ANNUA	L TOTAL		\$ 1,105,000	\$ 4,160,000	\$	2,940,000	\$ 3,505,000	\$	796,000	\$	300,000
	SEWER TREATMENT & COLLECTIONS (WW)		\$125,000	\$50,000		\$87,500	\$2,312,500		\$50,000		\$300,000
	WELLS & DISTRIBUTION (WD)		\$899,088	\$1,580,000		\$247,500	\$1,087,500		\$746,000		\$0
	WATER TREATMENT (WT)		\$178,100	\$2,530,000	.9	\$2,605,000	\$105,000		\$0		\$0
SSCWD	BUDGET		\$1,113,138	\$2,895,000		\$1,637,500	\$3,452,500		\$796,000		\$300,000
			J1,110,100	φ <u>π</u> ,υ/3,000		J1,007,000	30,432,300		9770,000		9500,000

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: North County Groundwater Bank Feasiblity Study

Contact: Hillebrecht Dept: WHWTP Type: Study Total Cost: \$ 120,000 Useful Life: 5 years

30,000 CY Budget: \$ Category: Capital Improvement Account: Urgency: 3 = Important

Total spent to date: \$ Carry Forward: No

Description

In partnership with SBCWD and the City of Hollister, Sunnyslope committed to investigate the feasibility of creating a groundwater bank in northern San Benito County. Conceptually, wells would be drilled groundwater would be pumped from areas north of the Hollister airport, along northern Fairview Rd., and around the Pacheco creek. This water would go into the Hollister Conduit, blend with surface water, and supply Lessalt and West Hills. As the groundwater level there drops, it would create storage space. During wet years, surface water could be percolated into that part of the basin and then pumped out in dry years. Total Study cost is \$340,000 with Sunnyslope's share being \$148,000. The City pays the higher portion for the fire flow aspects.

Justification

As demands increase with growth, additional surface water will be needed to maintain the desired water quality parameters for hardness and TDS. The HUAMP showed this to be one of the most cost effective ways of getting more water. The water would be fully controlled by SBCWD and not dependent on State or other agencies. It would help solve high groundwater issues around Pacheco creek. It provides resilient water supply for dry years. The Board has already committed to this feasiblity study MOU in October 2019 meeting.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000	

Funding Source

SBCWD is recovering Sunnyslope's share of the costs (\$148,000) by adding it into the charges for water supply over the 5 years from 2020-2025 according to Oct. 2019 Board Staff Report.

Operational Budget Impact/Other												
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total				
Labor								\$ -				
Parts & Supplies								\$ -				
Chemicals								\$ -				
Utility								\$ -				
Other - Equipment								\$ -				
								\$ -				
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Union Road Pressure Reducing Station SCADA

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 30,000

CY Budget: \$ 30,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht

Type: SCADA Useful Life: 10 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

As part of the West of Fairview Phase 1A development project, Sunnyslope required the developer to install a new Pressure Reducing Station at the intersection of Union Rd. and Mimosa Dr. All the physical equipment for the PRV was supplied by the developer, but SCADA control is to be paid for by Sunnyslope. This station allows for water to move from the High Zone in Mimosa to the Middle Zone in Union. It is anticipated Sunnyslope will hire Calcon to build and connect the PLC and new radio to Sunnyslope's existing SCADA. Price estimate is loosely based on previous quotes for similar SCADA work for the Crosstown Pipeline. (Tesco quoted about \$30K for a similar amount of work though Calcon generally has better prices than Tesco)

Justification

The PRV station is already built by the contractor, but to better operate the PRV station staff need to see the data from various instruments and be able to remotely control the flow going through the station. This has long been a project in the works. It will improve fire flows on Calistoga Dr. by providing another "water source" to the Middle Zone in that area.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	

Funding Source

This should be able to be paid with Capacity Fees as the PRV adds an additional water supply to the Middle Zone by having another connection between it and the High Zone. That is key for peak fire flows that new developments (such as Sunnyside Estates, Bennett Ranch, and Twin Oaks) add to.

	Operational Budget Impact/Other													
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total						
Labor								\$ -						
Parts & Supplies								\$ -						
Chemicals								\$ -						
Utility								\$ -						
Other - Equipment								\$ -						
								\$ -						
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -						

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: New Enterprise Booster Station

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 1,000,000

CY Budget: \$ -

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pump Stations Useful Life: 40 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

As a part of the Vista del Calabria development, Sunnyslope plans to negotiate for the developer to construct a new booster pump station at our Well 7 site that could move water between the Middle Zone and High Zone. The pump station would be designed with a maximum capacity of 2 MGD (1,400gpm). Price estimate is based off the Crosstown Pipeline booster station which most bidders priced between \$2.0-2.5M. However this station will be about half the flow capacity and double the lift. More importantly, since the developer is building it and then gifting it to SSCWD, they do not need to pay prevailing wage. Everything for the project would stay on SSCWD property and fall under the development CEQA document.

Justification

This booster station would allow for West Hills surface water to be moved into the High Zone, especially the Ridgemark area where Sunnyslope provides sewer service. That could make it possible for Sunnyslope to shut down Lessalt at times while still giving softer surface water to our sewer customers. It would also give additional supply to the High Zone in case of an emergecy where Lessalt and our Wells can't keep up with demand from fire flows or breaks. It also would ensure the Ridgemark Tanks are primarily filled with surface water rather than with well water.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	

Funding Source

This project would be funded through a Capacity Fee credit with the developer. Sunnyslope and the developer would negotiate what the cost of the pump station is and agree to the credit. (Vista del Calabria capacity fees will probably be about \$1.8M) If costs exceeded their Capacity Fees, Sunnyslope would pay the rest with capacity fees from other developments.

Operational Budget Impact/Other														
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/2	22	22/	23	23/	24	24/25		25/26		Unsched	5 yr	Total
Labor													\$	-
Parts & Supplies													\$	-
Chemicals													\$	-
Utility													\$	-
Other - Equipment													\$	-
													\$	-
	Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	- 9	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Upgrade Airline Highway Booster Station SCADA

Dept: DISTRIBUTION Type: SCADA
Total Cost: \$ 50,000 Useful Life: 10 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement
Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Contact: Hillebrecht

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope has an existing booster station at Airline Highway near Enterprise Rd. in the County right of way. It is capable of pumping about 300gpm from the Middle Zone into the High Zone. However, this booster does not have SCADA control or communication so staff are hesitant to run it for anything except exercising while someone is present to watch and observe it. This project would install SCADA, new instruments, and radio communication.

Justification

We already have the booster station in place, but because it is not connected to our SCADA system, staff are very hesitant to use it without someone there watching. This effectively makes the booster station worthless as it is not trusted. With the SCADA, staff could observe and control it remotely. It could come on if High Zone pressure drops too low from fire flows or leaks to supplement that Zone. If Lessalt is down, this could help provide surface water from West Hills so that Ridgemark is not ONLY getting well water.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	

Funding Source

We could use Capacity Fees to pay for this as it gives an additional supply source for the High Zone for fire flows or main breaks. The growth on this Zone increases the chance of fire or break, necessitating projects like this.

Operational Budget Impact/Other												
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total				
Labor								\$ -				
Parts & Supplies								\$ -				
Chemicals								\$ -				
Utility								\$ -				
Other - Equipment								\$ -				
								\$ -				
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Well 5 Irrigation System Supply

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 500,000

CY Budget: \$ 500,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht

Type: CIP Useful Life: 40 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope's Well 5 located on Tyler Ct. in Ridgemark is to be connected to an isolated Irrigation System that will run primarily down Fairview Rd. to supply irrigation water to large public landscape areas like parks, schools, and roadside landscaping. A design contract with Schaaf & Wheeler was entered into in Dec. 2020 for \$130,000. This included surveying, geotech, system modeling, and civil/structural engineering. Well 5 will get a new VFD, a large pressure tank to control the Irrigation System, a automated valve to open between the Irrigation System and the Domestic High Zone, and new SCADA controls for the Irrigation System. The Irrigation System would also supply construction water to developments near or ajacent to it as they develop. Eventually, this system could be used to double plumb individual homes so their landscape irrigation is on a different meter and system than their internal domestic water in their house. All the water in this Irrigation System would remain completely potable and still be tested and chlorinated.

Iustification

Surface water from SBCWD is limited in supply and costs significantly more to treat than well water. Thus, we want to preserve as much of the surface water as we can for inside domestic use. By sending only well water directly for irrigation or construction, we are able to send more surface water to domestic use. Also, it will improve the domestic water quality right around Well 5 since it would "exercise" into the Irrigation System rather than into the domestic system.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000

Funding Source

This could be funded with Capacity Fees since it is for increasing the longterm water supply by removing large users from the domestic water system.

	Operational Budget Impact/Other												
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr T	otal			
Labor									\$	-			
Parts & Supplies									\$	-			
Chemicals									\$	-			
Utility									\$	-			
Other - Equipment									\$	-			
									\$	-			
	Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-			

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Irrigation System Main in Fairview

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Cost: \$ 180,000

Total Cost: \$ 180,000 Useful Life: 50 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 3 = Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Contact: Hillebrecht

Type: Pipeline

During the West of Fairview Phase 2, Sunnyslope will require that the developer install a 12" pipeline in Fairview Rd. from the northern end of their development to the southern end of Santana Ranch. Here there is an existing 12" pipeline for their Irrigation System. With this pipeline, Santana Ranch would be connected to the Irrigation System and all their landscape demands could be met solely by Well 5 and the Irrigation System. Approximately 1,800 LF of pipe would be installed in a high traffic existing road that already has quite a few utilities in it. Assuming \$100/LF for the project cost.

Justification

The Santana Ranch development has already installed water lines for the Irrigation System through parts of their development with services off of it to parks, school irrigation, and roadside landscaping. However since that system is not yet connected to the rest of the Irrigation System, it is all Lessalt water that serves those landscapes. With this project, Santana Ranch would be connected to Well 5 and solely well water could be used to supply the landscaping and construction water demands.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ 180,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180,000	

Funding Source

It is anticipated that the funding for this project will be through a Capacity Fee credit agreement that would be negotiated with the West of Fairview Phase 2 developer.

	Operational Budget Impact/Other												
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr T	otal			
Labor									\$	-			
Parts & Supplies									\$	-			
Chemicals									\$	-			
Utility									\$	-			
Other - Equipment									\$	-			
									\$	-			
	Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-			

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Rehabilitate 2.0 MG Fairview Tank

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 1,000,000
CY Budget: \$ -

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht
Type: Water Storage
Useful Life: 50 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

In 2015, the City of Hollister gifted to Sunnyslope the 2.0 Million Gallon Fairview Tank. The steel tank was build in 1962 and very little work had been done on it. After Sunnyslope completed the Tank Rehabilitation project in 2015, this tank was drained and taken off line. During the warranty inspection for the 3.5 MG tank, the engineer from Harper and Associates (tank rehab consultant) quickly looked inside the 2.0 MG tank and estimated that it would take about \$1,000,000 to fully rehabilitate that tank so that it could be safely put into service. It would need a seismic and structural evaluation along with full blasting and recoating of the inside. New safety features for the exterior and interior would be needed. There is likely lead in the paint at least for the exterior of the tank.

Justification

Currently Sunnyslope only has the 3.5 MG tank active for the Middle Zone. While this does currently provide plenty of storage for normal operation, emergency, and fire flow, it also leaves the District vulnerable if anything happened to that tank. Especially if the tank needed to be fully drained due to some sort of contamination, it would be quite difficult to operate without a tank on the Middle Zone. Having more storage could also allow more flexibility to only run our wells during off-peak electricity demand times.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	

Funding Source

Some of the funding for this could come from Capacity Fees as the additional storage would help provide resilience and capacity for the Middle Zone. However it may be difficult to argue that all of the cost can be borne by Capacity Fees as much of the benefit would also be for existing customers. Thus a portion may come from Capital Reserves.

Operational Budget Impact/Other												
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/2	2.2	22/23		23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr To	otal	
Labor										\$	-	
Parts & Supplies										\$	-	
Chemicals										\$	-	
Utility										\$	-	
Other - Equipment										\$	-	
										\$	-	
	Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: SCADA at Quail Hollow PRVs

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 150,000

CY Budget: \$ Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: SCADA Useful Life: 10 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope operates 3 Pressure Reducing Valve stations along Quail Ridge Dr. that pass water from the High Zone to the Middle Zone. This project is to install SCADA controls at each of these PRV stations. This would include individual PG&E electric meters that would need to be installed, the new PLCs, and radio communication. Additionally, it could mean new water meters, pressure transducers, conductivity sensors, and chlorine analyzers at each site. Depending on the feasibility, new sewer laterals could also be run to the sites so that active analyzers can be used with reagents.

Justification

Having the PRV stations connected to the SCADA would give staff more control and knowledge of the specific details of running the distribution system. It could be used to minimize power waste by limiting the PRVs to only when they are actually needed. The extra instruments would help to monitor the water distribution system by watching pressure, flows, chlorine residual, conductivity, and potentially other key factors.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000

Funding Source

It could be argued that Capacity Fees may be used as improved visibility and monitoring of these PRVs can lead to more efficient use of them, thus giving more or better capacity to the Middle Zone. However, it would be safer to use the Capital Reserve for this project.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Well 8 Irrigation System Supply

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 500,000

CY Budget: \$ -

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht

Type: CIP Useful Life: 40 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project would connect Sunnyslope's Well 8 into the Irrigation System. This project would be after the Promontory and Ridgemark driving range is developed along with the improvements to Ridgemark Dr. out to Airline Hwy. Those developments will install a 12" pipe from Well 8 out to Fairview Rd. where it would connect to the Irrigation System. Once Well 8 is ready to be connected, this project would install additional pressure tank(s) at the Well 8 site and connect the well to the Irrigation System. There would also be an automated valve that could open between the Irrigation System and the High Zone if the Ridgemark tank drops too low or if the pressure at Well 8 gets too low.

Justification

If double plumbing of individual residences is to be required of upcoming developments, Well 5 would not have sufficient capacity to supply all that landscaping and irrigation. Therefore, we would need Well 8 to also supply irrigation water. Also, the Well 8 site is better for pressure tanks because it is about 40' higher than Well 5 so the tanks will give more capacity. This would also improve water quality right near Well 8 as it would "exercise" into the landscape system rather than the domestic High Zone.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000

Funding Source

This could be funded through Capacity Fees as it is essentially increasing long-term water supply by taking irrigation demand away from the high quality surface water.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Hollister Urban Area Master Plan Update

Dept: WHWTP
Total Cost: \$ 75,000
CY Budget: \$ 75,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Study Useful Life: 5 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

Description

The Hollister Urban Area Water & Wastewater Master Plan takes a regional look at the water supplies and demands for the Hollister area. It then examines various strategies to ensure that there is sufficient water quantity and quality for the current and future residents and businesses in and around Hollister. The plan generally includes projections of future demand and future supply, evaluates potential projects and project timing. SBCWD has historically been the lead agency on this and has contracted with HDR engineering firm as the consultant for the Plan. Sunnyslope generally has a 1/3rd share in the cost.

Iustification

Because we have become so interdependent and interconnected with SBCWD and the City of Hollister, it is key that we all operate on a shared vision for the regional water and wastewater issues. This is especially important as developing new water sources can take 10-20 years before the new water is realized. This also will be key for considering various options like double plumbing for landscape irrigation.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000

Funding Source

It is antiicpated that this project will be funded by the Capital Reserve. It could also be supplemented by Capacity Fees as the study is primarily for additional growth.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Replace 12" Pipe from Ridgemark Tanks to Georges

Dept: DISTRIBUTION Type: Pipeline
Total Cost: \$ 150,000 Useful Life: 50 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Contact: Hillebrecht

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

The pipe that connects the Ridgemark Tanks down to Georges Dr. was installed around 1977 using 12" ACP pipe. The path of the pipe goes directly below several very large trees at 180 Georges Dr. and then runs cross country up the steep hillside to the tanks. This project would replaced about 750 LF of 12" ACP pipe with 12" PVC. This would probably be by bursting the existing 12" main. It would require at least 4 entry/exit pits as the pipe turns at elbows. All of this would be on easements over private property. Due to those considerations and the difficulty in accessing the pipeline turn points, an estimate of \$200 / LF was used.

Iustification

In 2015 there was a water main leak between the cell towers and the tanks that Specialty Construction was brought in to repair as it was quite difficult. It appeared that the ground had settled causing one of the transite push couplings to strain and leak. Were this pipeline to experience a significant break (as can happen with ACP) it could quickly drain both Ridgemark Tanks and flood out the properties downhill from it. Accessing the break would also be very difficult, especially in an emergency.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000

Funding Source

This would have to be funded by the Capital Reserve. Capacity Fees could not be used as it is not expanding capacity or being done due to growth or expansion of service.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Upsize water main from Well 2 to Airline Hwy

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 166,000

CY Budget: \$
Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pipeline Useful Life: 50 years

> Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project is the replacement of approximately 1660 LF of 8" ACP pipe with 16" PVC pipe in Southside Rd from Well 2 to the bore across Airline Hwy. This includes a fault crossing just outside of Well 2 that would use the same specs as Crosstown Pipeline fault crossings. The project construction will be limited to the summer months so that it does not conflict with traffic for Ladd Elementary School. Due to these limitations, cost is estimated at \$100 per LF.

Justification

The existing 8" ACP pipe is undersized for the combined flows of Well 2 and the West Hills boosters such that pressures at the wellhead can rise by as much as 20psi when both are running. This can cause issues where well water actually runs backwards through the booster meter and go toward Well 11. This causes double counting of the metered water so that the same water is counted as new water into the system by both the Well 2 meter and the Well 11 West Hills meter. Ultimately, when the Bertuccio property south of Southside develops this main will be replaced by them, but that could be decades out. There is also earthquake risk as the ACP crosses a fault line and ACP does not do well with movement.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 166,000	\$ -	\$ 166,000

Funding Source

If the Bertuccio property develops, this project will be required as part of their development improvements. If we do this project before they develop, Capacity Fees could be used as the larger pipe would provide higher flows necessary for new developments.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: New Enterprise Lift Station (replace Oak Canyon)

Dept: COLLECTIONS

Total Cost: \$ 100,000

CY Budget: \$ -Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht
Type: Pump Stations

Useful Life: 40 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

Description

The Vista del Calabria development will be relocating and improving the existing Oak Canyon sewer lift station to the northwest corner of their development along Enterprise Rd. The lift station will be designed for final maximum capacity. Currently the Oak Canyon lift station serves 169 residences but full build-out will be approximately 494. Sunnyslope is thus responsible for about 34% of the new lift station cost as we very much want to get rid of the Oak Canyon lift. The Sunnyside Estates lift station for the City of Hollister which is near similar size had an Engineer's Estimate of \$272,000. We need a full backup generator, 2 pumps in the wet well and 1 on the shelf, Sodium Nitrate injection and storage shed, and full SCADA connection.

Justification

The Vista del Calabria needs this new sewer lift station to pump the wastewater from this development up to Sunnyslope's Ridgemark WWTP. This gives the opportunity for Sunnyslope to eliminate the Oak Canyon lift station which was built in 1997 in the middle of a residential street. That lift station does not have an onsite generator and cannot inject sodium nitrate to combat H2S corrosion. The new lift station will also be adequately sized for future development buildout.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000

Funding Source

Sunnyslope's share of this project will be funded through a Sewer Capacity Fee credit with the developer. The estimated total sewer capacity fees for this development are about \$3.25 million.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: New Airline/Ridgemark Dr. Lift Station (replace Paullu Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: COLLECTIONS Type: Pump Stations

Total Cost: \$ 150,000 Useful Life: 30 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

A new sewer lift station near the corner of Ridgemark Dr. and Airline Hwy. is proposed as a part of the Ridgemark Golf Course development. A new gravity sewer line would be run from Paullus lift station down to here and sewage would then be pumped along Ridgemark Dr. into the new development collection system at the current driving range. Thus, Paullus would no longer be pumped to RM II lift station. The new lift station would need an onsite emergency generator, sodium nitrate injection and storage in a shed, full SCADA connection and control, and sufficient space for regular maintenance. The Sunnyside Estates lift station which would be similar had an estimated cost of \$272,000 in 2016. We assume Sunnyslope would be responsible for about 1/2 to 1/3 the cost of the new lift station.

Justification

The Ridgemark Golf Course Development is proposing for commercial facilities near the intersection of Ridgemark Dr. and Airline Hwy. such as restaurants, coffee shops, etc. Because that is downhill from the nearest sewer, it would need a lift station. Also, additional development in the RM II drainage is proposed. To offset the impacts of that development on RM II, the Paullus LS could gravity flow to the new LS. This would also upgrade Paullus so it has onsite backup power, more wastewater flow with larger pumps that do not plug as easily, and several other benefits.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000

Funding Source

Sunnyslope would be responsible for its proportional share as negotiated with the Ridgemark developer. This would be paid through a Capacity Fee credit to the developer.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Upsize Marks Sewer with Promontory Dev

Dept: COLLECTIONS
Total Cost: \$ 200,000

CY Budget: \$ -

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pipeline Useful Life: 50 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project is the upsizing of 8" VCP sewer pipe to 10" PVC or HDPE pipe from about 360 Marks Dr. to the Main Lift Station next to 558 Marks Dr. This is approximately 960 LF of sewer main through 6 manholes. The final segment to the manhole right before the Main Lift will need to be deepened by open cut trench, along with the segment from there to the Main Lift. All the others could be done by pipe bursting depending on the radius that the sewer pipe is roped at. It starts out quite deep at about 20' then gets shallower ending at about 10' deep. Also 7 sewer lateral connections need to be made. This project will be completed by the Promontory development as one of their requirements. Sunnyslope will be responsible for our fair share which is about 40%. Promontory's share is 10% and Ridgemark Golf Course development has a 50% share. Cost was assumed at a high cost of \$500 per LF.

Justification

The sewer main from 220 Marks Dr. to the Main Lift is already over capacity (more than 75% full pipe flow) at peak hour flow (4x daily average). The Promontory development will be tying into this sewer main just southwest of 360 Marks Dr. adding more flow to this already over-capacity line. Thus the line must be upsized to accommodate the existing and future sewer flows for full buildout. Shares were determined based on the percent contribution to over-capacity of the existing sewer main.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000

Funding Source

Sunnyslope's 40% share will be funded through a Sewer Capacity Fee credit to the developer, who will be required to do the work. The development will have about \$2 million in sewer capacity fees.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: New Gravity Sewer from Main Lift to Vista del Calabria Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: COLLECTIONS Type: Pipeline Total Cost: \$ 625,000 Useful Life: 50 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 3 = Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

This project is dependent upon agreements being reached to send Sunnyslope's sewage to the City of Hollister wastewater treatment plant rather than treating it at the Ridgemark WWTP and on the Vista del Calabria development. It is also paired with the New Gravity Sewer from Everest project (18) and the Connect to City Sewer via Lico North project (19). For this project, a new gravity 10" sewer pipe would be installed from the Main Lift station next to 558 Marks through an existing PUE easement on Hilden's property to Georges Dr and then down to the end of Georges Dr. where it would tie into a stubbed manhole from Vista del Calabria at the end of Georges. This would be approximately 2,500 LF of pipe with about 1,500 feet in a residential road. Much of that length in the road would also be over 20' deep. No sewer laterals would come off this pipe. To minimize the impact to the road and residences, doing a directional bore is an attractive installation method. Cost is assumed to be \$250 per LF. The 10" is calculated to be adequate for full buildout of Sunnyslope's sewer area. The gravity sewer could also potentially pick up Hilden's sewer lateral so he no longer has to pump into Sunnyslope's collection system.

Justification

This project is necessary to send Sunnyslope's sewer flows to the City. Redirecting sewage treatment from the Ridgemark WWTP to the City of Hollister WWTP allows for economies of scale to reduce the unit cost and reduces maintenance and treatment costs. Additionally, by gravity flowing the expense of running the Main Lift station is eliminated saving energy and maintenance costs. Ultimately, this cost savings could me a reduction in sewer bills for Sunnyslope customers or at least it will be longer before a rate increase is needed.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 625,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 625,000

Funding Source

This would have to be funded through the Capital Reserve as the project is not due to new development or increasing capacity.

Operational Budget Impact/Other											
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24		24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr T	otal
Labor										\$	-
Parts & Supplies										\$	-
Chemicals										\$	-
Utility										\$	-
Other - Equipment										\$	-
										\$	-
	Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: New Gravity Sewer Everest toward Main Lift

Dept: COLLECTIONS
Total Cost: \$ 80,000

CY Budget: \$ -

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pipeline Useful Life: 50 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project is dependent upon an agreement to send Sunnyslope sewer to the City of Hollister, a Gravity Sewer from Main Lift to Vista del Calabria (Project 17) and Connection to City Sewer via Lico North (Project 19) and the Vista del Calabria development. To send sewer from Franks Dr. and Everest Dr. to the City of Hollister, a new gravity sewer pipeline must be installed from manhole M3-5c on the Ridgemark WWTP property east toward the new gravity main from the Main Lift to Vista del Calabria (Project 17). The pipeline would be at least 600 LF and as much as 1,000 LF long depending on the route. It should not need to be too deep and could easily be open cut trenched in. Estimated cost is \$100 per LF. Appropriate valving should be considered so that flow could be redirected back to the Ridgemark WWTP if needed.

Justification

This project would be needed if Sunnyslope were to send its sewage to the City of Hollister WWTP as wastewater from Franks and Everest does not pass through the Main Lift station but rather enters in the back of the SBR. Going to the City with wastewater is thought to be cheaper long-term, adds more wastewater for recycling, and reduces the amount of pumping energy used.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000

Funding Source

This must be funded through the Capital Reserve as Capacity Fees cannot be used.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Account:

Project Name: Connection to City Sewer via Lico North

Dept: COLLECTIONS Type: Pipeline

Total Cost: \$ 420,000 Useful Life: 50 years CY Budget: \$ Category: Capital Improvement

Total spent to date: \$ Carry Forward:

Description

Contact: Hillebrecht

Urgency: 3 = Important

This project is dependent upon an agreement being reached to send Sunnyslope wastewater to the City of Hollister WWTP. A new gravity sewer pipeline would be installed from the Enterprise lift station north across the Lico North property to Union Rd and then west to Southside Rd. The pipeline would then tie into the exisiting City of Hollister collection system manhole at Southside and Union. An easement across the Lico North property would need to be negotiated. Due to elevations, the sewer main could not be directed west down Enterprise then north on Southside. Also it could not go to the Sunnyside Estates lift station as the sewer piping and that lift station are very undersized to handle all Sunnyslope's wastewater flows, especially at full buildout. The easement would need to be at least 1,100 LF but could be longer depending on grades and desired location by the Licos. Down Union Rd it could be about 1,000 LF of pipe. Cost is assumed to be about \$200 per LF.

Justification

This pipeline would be needed to get wastewater flow from Sunnyslope to the City of Hollister. It is expected that wastewater treatment at the Hollister WWTP would be cheaper than continuing to run the Ridgemark WWTP in the long term. Also, that would provide additional wastewater flows for recyling and selling to agriculture around the Hollister WWTP.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 420,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 420,000

Funding Source

Funding would need to come from the Capital Reserves as Capacity Fees could not be used. This project is not for expanding capacity to accommodate development.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Ridgemark Golf Course Sewer Upsizing

Dept: COLLECTIONS
Total Cost: \$ 50,000

CY Budget: \$ Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pipeline Useful Life: 50 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Carry Forward:

Description

The Ridgemark Golf Course is proposed to have additional development constructed. To accommodate that development along with the existing customers, the sewer main would need to be upsized in a few areas, specifically on Marks and Donald Dr. While most of these costs would be covered by the developer, Sunnyslope would be responsible for its portion in areas that are already over capacity (more than 75% full pipe at peak hour (4x daily average) flow). A major portion of the needed upsizing will be accomplished by Project 16, but from manhole M3-18 to M3-12 (900 LF) and M5-14 to M4-22 (260 LF), exisiting flows already exceed capacity. For cost estimate it is assumed \$200 per LF and Sunnyslope would have a 25% share.

Justification

These sections of sewer main are already over capacity according to the 75% full pipe at peak hour (4x daily average) standard that Sunnyslope has adopted for sewer sizing. Over capacity sewers like this increase the chance for sewer backups and SSOs that expose the public and environment to raw wastewater. The developer for the Ridgemark Golf Course will need to upsize these pipes anyway for their development.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 50,000

Funding Source

This would be funded through a Capacity Fee credit with the Ridgemark Golf Course developer as the upsizing is directly for accomodating new development.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: **Upsizing City Sewer Mains Union/Southside to City WI** Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: COLLECTIONS Type: Pipeline Total Cost: \$ 1,000,000 Useful Life: 50 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 3 = Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

If Sunnyslope connects the the City of Hollister wastewater collections system through projects 17, 18, and 19 an analysis would be needed on the City's collection system capacity to ensure that it can handle the additional flows from Sunnyslope. Rather than paying collections capacity fees, Sunnyslope would propose to pay for and conduct the sewer main upsizing that would be required. It is currently unknown by Sunnyslope staff what sewer main upsizing would be required. Therefore, a cost of \$1 million is proposed mostly as a place holder. More research and cooperation with the City will revise that estimate. Full Sunnyslope build-out peak hour flow is expected to be about 640gpm.

Justification

This project would be needed to connect Sunnyslope's wastewater to the City of Holliser treatment plant without causing capacity issues in the City's collection system. It would probably be a requirement of any agreement to send Sunnyslope sewer to the City.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000

Funding Source

This would have to be funded through the Capital Reserve.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Manhole and Sewer Main Repair/Replace from CCTV I Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: COLLECTIONS Type: Manholes Pipeline

Total Cost: \$ 150,000 Useful Life: 20 years

CY Budget: \$ 50,000 Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 3 = Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Following the CCTV Inspection and Assessment project, we should begin to address various weaknesses and deficienies discovered by the CCTV. Depending on the degree to which the pipes and manholes have degraded, this project may be smaller or larger than estimated here. Likely not all the repairs will be needed immediately which will allow the project to be spread over several years. Types of problems may include H2S corrosion and degredation of manholes, root intrusion, cracked or collapsed pipes, bellies, or other issues.

Justification

Once it is determined what the issues with Sunnyslope's collection system are, those issues need to be addressed in a timely manner to prevent failure of the collection system and SSOs.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,000

Funding Source

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Water Meter AMI Radio Network and Upgrades

Dept:

Total Cost: \$ 570,000

CY Budget: \$ 320,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht

Type: Other

Useful Life: 15 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project includes the installation and programming of a network of radio collector and repeater antennas that will be able to receive and transmit hourly water meter reads to our office. The network will be designed, installed, and tested with training for staff. This aspect of getting the network up and running is estimated to cost \$320,000 based off a Meter, Valve & Control quote from Dec 2, 2020. To be compatable with this system, all the meters will need to have 100W itron ERTs. It is estimated that about 4,000 new ERTs will need to be replaced at a cost of \$250,000 per that same quote.

Justification

Sunnyslope has already invested significantly into improving our customer interface through the new website, Watersmart, and Tyler. Upgrading to AMI will allow these programs to be used to their full potential by analyzing hourly meter reads to alert customers of potential leaks, creating usage trends, predicting upcoming bill costs, and much more. It will also simplify start/stop service procedures and save about 6 hours per month in meter reading (and miles of RAV-4) as the meter reading route would be significantly reduced. Many of the ERTs to be replaced are nearing the end of their useful life and would need to be replaced soon anyway.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 320,000	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 570,000

Funding Source

This would be funded by the Capital Reserve. Capacity Fees could not be used for this project as it is not to accommodate additional growth.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Pond 6 Lift Station Wet Well, Pump, Electrical

Dept: WWTP
Total Cost: \$ 50,000

CY Budget: \$ 50,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pump Stations

Useful Life: 15 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

The Pond 6 lift station at the SBR which pumps treated wastewater to Percolation Pond 6 for disposal needs to be inspected and evaluated. This should include the electrial system, the wet well itself, and the pump. Also, minor alterations may be needed to the percolation Pond 3 overflow to Pond 4 so that treated wastewater would first go toward the Pond 6 wet well.

Justification

While Pond 6 is not constantly needed for operation of the SBR, it is a valuable asset for disposing of treated wastewater. The lift station improvements would facilitate normal use of this pond, giving valuable time for maintenance of Pond 4.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000

Funding Source

This would be funded by the Capital Reserve. Capacity Fees could not be used for this project as it is not to accommodate additional growth.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Well 2 VFD Electrical Rewiring

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 25,000

CY Budget: \$ 25,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Wells Useful Life: 20 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 1 = Critical

Carry Forward:

Description

The SCADA for Well 2 and the Crosstown Booster Station there is powered directly from the Well 2 VFD controller. However, this makes it such that the Well 2 VFD panel cannot be turned off without killing power to the SCADA controls. This project would intercept power before the Well 2 VFD for the SCADA and have each of those on their own breakers so they are independent of each other. **ALSO** it needs to be investigated if there are stray ground currents from the Well 2 VFD that are going from the motor to the casing. This could be causing **SEVERE** corrosion of the pump, motor, casing, and well pipe.

Justification

It is very important for safety that the Well 2 VFD can be fully turned off and deenergized. Currently if that is to occur, we would loose SCADA communication after the backup battery goes dead. Also, if there are stray grounding currents going to the well pump or casing, it could severely shorten the life of the pump and could cause damage to the well itself.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000

Funding Source

This would be paid for from the Capital Reserves.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Conduit to DO Sensors at SBR

Dept: WWTP
Total Cost: \$ 25,000

CY Budget: \$ 25,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Safety Useful Life: 20 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

Description

At the Ridgemark WWTP, there are DO sensors for each basin that currently have extension cords running along the catwalk to power them. These cords need to be replaced with permanent electrical wires in proper conduits.

Justification

The current practice of using extension cords to power these semi-permanent instruments does not meet OSHA standards and is a safety hazard for both electric shock and for tripping.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000

Funding Source

This would be funded by the Capital Reserve.

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Update Water System Model for Fire Flows

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 10,000

CY Budget: \$ Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Study Useful Life: 10 years

> Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Carry Forward:

Description

With all the development and changes to Sunnyslopes water distrubution system, it is important that we verify that adequate fire flows are available to every part of our District. This study would include updating the current model of our water system (last held by Kennedy/Jenks for the Crosstown Pipeline project) and running both theoretical tests on the model and live tests on key fire hydrants.

Justification

Sunnyslope is charged with providing sufficient fire flows so that the Hollister Fire Department can hook up to any hydrant and use the water to fight fires. This study would ensure that Sunnyslope's system is meeting all parameters and standards for fire flows. Ultimately, that will keep the home insurance rates lower for Sunnyslope customers as their homes and businesses are better defended from fires.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	

Funding Source

	Operational Budget Impact/Other											
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total				
Labor								\$ -				
Parts & Supplies								\$ -				
Chemicals								\$ -				
Utility								\$ -				
Other - Equipment								\$ -				
								\$ -				
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Water Main Upgrades for Fire Flows

Dept: DISTRIBUTION
Total Cost: \$ 100,000

CY Budget: \$ Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Pipeline Useful Life: 50 years

Category: Capital Improvement Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Carry Forward:

Description

This project would be executing the suggestions made by the study in Project 27. While it is not anticipated that may improvements would be needed as staff believes that fire flows are sufficient for most of the District, a cost of \$100,000 is assigned as a place holder.

Justification

If Project 27 discovers that there are areas with insufficient fire flow for adequate Fire Department protection, those issues ought to be addressed. Sunnyslope is charged with providing fire protection water and the Fire Department depends on having enough flow from hydrants.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000

Funding Source

		Operati	ional	l Budget	Impact/Oth	er					
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24		24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr T	otal
Labor										\$	-
Parts & Supplies										\$	-
Chemicals										\$	-
Utility										\$	-
Other - Equipment										\$	-
										\$	-
	Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Convert Water Distribution SCADA from Wonderware Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: ADMIN Type: SCADA
Total Cost: \$ 75,000 Useful Life: 15 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope currently runs multiple versions of the Wonderware software to power the SCADA program and controls. Renewing the Wonderware licenses can be very expensive. One option is other than renewing Wonderware licenses is to entirely reprogram the SCADA system using the Ignition software. This would allow the District to start from the ground up and design the SCADA so that it works best for the District's current needs. The screens and controls can operate very similarly to the old system to minimize staff difficulty. The cost figures are just place holders and more research is needed on actual costs of Wonderware licenses and Ignition programming.

Justification

To integrate the SCADA from the main Office, the Ridgemark WWTP, Lessalt, and West Hills into one program that shows them all, they all need to be on the same Wonderware version. Currently they are each on different versions, so "catch-up licenses" would need to be purchased to bring everything current. This could be quite expensive. The Ignition software is more open source and easier to doesn't have the licensing requirements of Wonderware.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000

Funding Source

		Operat	tiona	al Budget	Impact/Of	thei	r				
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24		24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr To	otal
Labor										\$	-
Parts & Supplies										\$	-
Chemicals										\$	-
Utility										\$	-
Other - Equipment										\$	-
										\$	-
	Total	\$ -	- :	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Convert Lessalt SCADA from Wonderware to Ignition Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: ADMIN Type: SCADA
Total Cost: \$ 75,000 Useful Life: 15 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope currently runs multiple versions of the Wonderware software to power the SCADA program and controls. Renewing the Wonderware licenses can be very expensive. One option is other than renewing Wonderware licenses is to entirely reprogram the SCADA system using the Ignition software. This would allow the District to start from the ground up and design the SCADA so that it works best for the District's current needs. The screens and controls can operate very similarly to the old system to minimize staff difficulty. The cost figures are just place holders and more research is needed on actual costs of Wonderware licenses and Ignition programming.

Justification

To integrate the SCADA from the main Office, the Ridgemark WWTP, Lessalt, and West Hills into one program that shows them all, they all need to be on the same Wonderware version. Currently they are each on different versions, so "catch-up licenses" would need to be purchased to bring everything current. This could be quite expensive. The Ignition software is more open source and easier to doesn't have the licensing requirements of Wonderware.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000	

Funding Source

		Operati	ional	l Budget	Impact/Oth	er					
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24		24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr T	otal
Labor										\$	-
Parts & Supplies										\$	-
Chemicals										\$	-
Utility										\$	-
Other - Equipment										\$	-
										\$	-
	Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Convert West Hills SCADA from Wonderware to Igniti Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: ADMIN Type: SCADA
Total Cost: \$ 75,000 Useful Life: 15 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope currently runs multiple versions of the Wonderware software to power the SCADA program and controls. Renewing the Wonderware licenses can be very expensive. One option is other than renewing Wonderware licenses is to entirely reprogram the SCADA system using the Ignition software. This would allow the District to start from the ground up and design the SCADA so that it works best for the District's current needs. The screens and controls can operate very similarly to the old system to minimize staff difficulty. The cost figures are just place holders and more research is needed on actual costs of Wonderware licenses and Ignition programming.

Justification

To integrate the SCADA from the main Office, the Ridgemark WWTP, Lessalt, and West Hills into one program that shows them all, they all need to be on the same Wonderware version. Currently they are each on different versions, so "catch-up licenses" would need to be purchased to bring everything current. This could be quite expensive. The Ignition software is more open source and easier to doesn't have the licensing requirements of Wonderware.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000	

Funding Source

Operational Budget Impact/Other										
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total		
Labor								\$ -		
Parts & Supplies								\$ -		
Chemicals								\$ -		
Utility								\$ -		
Other - Equipment								\$ -		
								\$ -		
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Convert Water Distribution SCADA from Wonderware Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: ADMIN Type: SCADA
Total Cost: \$ 75,000 Useful Life: 15 years

CY Budget: \$ - Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

Sunnyslope currently runs multiple versions of the Wonderware software to power the SCADA program and controls. Renewing the Wonderware licenses can be very expensive. One option is other than renewing Wonderware licenses is to entirely reprogram the SCADA system using the Ignition software. This would allow the District to start from the ground up and design the SCADA so that it works best for the District's current needs. The screens and controls can operate very similarly to the old system to minimize staff difficulty. The cost figures are just place holders and more research is needed on actual costs of Wonderware licenses and Ignition programming.

Justification

To integrate the SCADA from the main Office, the Ridgemark WWTP, Lessalt, and West Hills into one program that shows them all, they all need to be on the same Wonderware version. Currently they are each on different versions, so "catch-up licenses" would need to be purchased to bring everything current. This could be quite expensive. The Ignition software is more open source and easier to doesn't have the licensing requirements of Wonderware.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75,000

Funding Source

		Operat	tiona	al Budget	Impact/Of	thei	r				
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22		22/23	23/24		24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr To	otal
Labor										\$	-
Parts & Supplies										\$	-
Chemicals										\$	-
Utility										\$	-
Other - Equipment										\$	-
										\$	-
	Total	\$ -	- :	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Replace Cathodic Protection Annodes in Water Tanks

Contact: Hillebrecht Type: Water Storage Useful Life: 10 years

Total Cost: \$ 30,000 CY Budget: \$ -

Dept: DISTRIBUTION

Category: Maintenance

Account:

Urgency: 4 = Less Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

The Ridgemark 0.5 and 1.0 MG tanks and the Fairview 3.5 MG tank all had passive cathodic protection installed in 2015 wherein magnesium rods corrode preferentially to the steel in the tanks. The rods should be replaced before they are fully corroded. Prior to replacement of the rods, an evaluation should be performed to determine what their additional life expending is and whether they need to be replaced yet.

Justification

Cathodic protection protects the steel tanks from corrosion damage and significantly extends their life expectancy. The cost of recoating one of these water tanks is significant, not to mention the operational difficulty with taking a tank offline for it to be recoated. Maintaining the cathodic protection is a much cheaper and easier option.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000	

Funding Source

Operational Budget Impact/Other													
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total					
Labor								\$ -					
Parts & Supplies								\$ -					
Chemicals								\$ -					
Utility								\$ -					
Other - Equipment								\$ -					
								\$ -					
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -					

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Lessalt Upgrade Turbidity Analyzers

Dept: LWTP
Total Cost: \$ 30,600
CY Budget: \$ 30,600

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Rodriguez Type: Equipment Useful Life: 10 years

Category: Capital Equipment Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Carry Forward:

				De	scripti	on								
Replace turbidity analyzers at Lessal	t . Use co	ntr	actor to				e are 7 an	nal	lvzers at \$3	600	and war	ranty.		
ı y												J		
				Ius	tificati	ion								
1720E turbidity analyzers are now discor	ntiued so v	we r	need to u				00. This wi	ill	save staff tir	ne v	vith cleani	ing the ana	lyzer	s and
searching for repair parts online.												Ü	-	
Expenditures:	Prior Yr		21/22	,	22/23		23/24	T	24/25		25/26	Unsched		Total
Experiences.	11101 11	\$	30,600	\$	22/25	\$	20/24	+	\$ -	\$	25/20	\$ -	\$	30,600
		Ф	30,600	Ф	-	Ф	_		p -	Ф	-	p -	Ф	30,600
			F	und	ling So	ourc	e							
Funding would be from the Capital 1	Reserve.													
8														
		O	peration	nal F	Budget	Im	pact/Othe	er	1					
Budget Items	Prior Yr	1	21/22	1	22/23	I	23/24	Ī	24/25		25/26	Unsched	5	yr Total
Labor						1		\dagger	,		,		\$	-
Parts & Supplies													\$	-
Chemicals								Ţ					\$	-
Utility								4					\$	-
Other - Equipment								+					\$	<u>-</u>
	Total	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_		\$ -	\$	_	\$ -	\$	_

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Connect Fairview Tank Solar to Grid through Meter Contact: Hillebrecht

Dept: ADMIN Type: Power
Total Cost: \$ 15,000 Useful Life: 15 years

CY Budget: \$ 15,000 Category: Capital Improvement

Account: Urgency: 2 = Very Important

Total spent to date: \$ - Carry Forward:

Description

The Fairview Tank site has a bank of solar panels on the northern end of the property that were originally used to power the mixer in the 3.5 MG Fairview tank. This was because the original power meter was off Fairview Rd. about a 3,000' away from the tank and the voltage would drop too much over that distance. Now however with the Santana Ranch development, the meter was relocated onto Castle Rock Dr and is now only about 500' away. Thus there is enough voltage to run the mixer on that and now to connect those solar panels to the grid. Cost has not been researched yet and is a place holder.

Justification

The solar panels can be used to offset some of Sunnyslope's power usage. The mixer will also be able to run more consistently off the PG&E power rather than the solar panels.

Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total	
		\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000	

Funding Source

Operational Budget Impact/Other													
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total					
Labor								\$ -					
Parts & Supplies								\$ -					
Chemicals								\$ -					
Utility								\$ -					
Other - Equipment								\$ -					
								\$ -					
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -					

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: 6,500 gallon Sodium Hydroxide tank

Dept: WHWTP
Total Cost: \$ 25,000
CY Budget: \$ 25,000

Account:

Total spent to date: \$ -

Contact: Rodriguez Type: Water Supply Useful Life: 20 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

			Descripti	on				
Replace current sodium hydroxide t	ank with	bigger 6700	gallon at	Wes Hills Wa	ter Treatmer	nt Facilty.		
			_			-		
			Justificati	on				
Up size current 300 gallon tank with big	or 6500 tar	ok to cut freie						
ep size current 500 ganon tank with big	c1 0500 ta1	ik to cut irei	sin ireigin ei	narges.				
		•						
Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
		F	unding So	ource				
Capital Reserve for West Hills								
		Operation	ıal Budget	Impact/Othe	r			
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total
Labor								\$ -
Parts & Supplies								\$ -
Chemicals								\$ -
Utility Other - Equipment								\$ - \$ -
Outer - Equipment								\$ -
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: sc200 Universal Controller (4)

Dept: WHWTP
Total Cost: \$ 9,088
CY Budget: \$ 9,088

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Rodriguez Type: Water Supply Useful Life: 20 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

-					•			
			Descripti	on				
Replace sc100 with sc200 at Lessalt a	nd West	Hills. Use c	ontractor t	o install it. Tl	here are 4 ana	alyzers a \$22	272.00 and	warranty.
			Justificati	on				
support the TU5300 analyzers and would	d eliminato	e searching f	or repair pa	rts for the sooi	n to be discont	inued sc100		
Expenditures:	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	Total
		\$ 9,088	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,088
		F	unding So	urce				
Capital Reserve for West Hills								
		Operation	ıal Budget	Impact/Othe	er			
Budget Items	Prior Yr	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Unsched	5 yr Total
Labor								\$ -
Parts & Supplies								\$ -
Chemicals								\$ -
Utility Other - Equipment								\$ - \$ -

Total

SUNNSYSLOPE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Project Name: Westhills Upgrade Turbidity Analyzers

Dept: WHWTP
Total Cost: \$ 17,500
CY Budget: \$ 17,500

Account:

Total spent to date: \$

Contact: Rodriguez Type: Water Supply Useful Life: 20 years

Category: Capital Improvement

Urgency: 3 = Important

Carry Forward:

				De	scripti	ion									
Replace turbidity analyzers at West l	Hills . Us	e co	ontracto	r to i	nstall	it. T	here are 4	4 a	nalyzers a	t \$3	600 and v	warr	anty.		
				-											
1500E (1:1: 1 1 1:	1		1.		tificati		00 TI : :	11			1	1	1		
1720E turbidity analyzers are now discor	ıtıuea so v	we r	neea to u	pgra	ae to 1	U53	JU. This Wi	111 5	save staff tir	ne v	vith cleani	ing ti	ne anai	ıyzers	ana
searching for repair parts online.															
F 19	D: 1/	1	24 /22	Ι.	2 /2 2			-			25/24			T	T 1
Expenditures:	Prior Yr	Φ.	21/22		22/23	Φ.	23/24	+	24/25	Φ.	25/26	_	sched		Total
l		\$	17,500	\$	-	\$	-	:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	17,500
			F	und	ing So	ourc	e								
Capital Reserve for West Hills															
•															
		O	peration	nal B	udget	Im	pact/Othe	er							
Budget Items	Prior Yr		21/22	2	2/23		23/24		24/25		25/26	Un	sched	5 y	yr Total
Labor														\$	-
Parts & Supplies								1						\$	-
Chemicals						-		╄						\$	-
Utility Other - Equipment								t						\$	-
o a.c 2quipinent														\$	-
	Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	- 1	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-